PREDICTION OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION RATE USING SWAT MODEL IN KEDUANG SUB-WATERSHED WONOGIRI REGENCY

Prima Nugroho¹, Yuli Priyana¹, Sigit Haryadi²

¹Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta, Faculty of Geography ²Watershed Management Agency

prima.sigpj@gmail.com

Abstract

Increased rate of erosion and sedimentation undeniably will bring degradation to the affected area, particularly in the watershed ecosystem. This problem, however, can be reduced by applying a proper watershed management, especially in the upstream. Watershed management would be carried out based on analysis of the watershed performance. A hydrological model frequently used to determine the impact of the landuse and physical environmental conditions on the rate of erosion and sedimentation spatially and temporally is Soil Water Assessment Tool (SWAT), This research aimed: (1) to determine the accuracy of the monthly model simulation and, (2) to analyze the rate of erosion and sedimentation in Keduang sub-watershed. The statistical method used to test the model was Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NS) and the coefficient of determination. Result of model validation obtained value of $R^2 = 0.79$ and NS = 0.41. The area with a high erosion rate was as much as 17,950.75 ha (51.22%) and very high erosion rate was 7,771.25 ha (22.17%). Average of sedimentation rate in the outlet of Keduang sub-watershed, which was retrieved from the simulation in 2011-2014, was 408.19 tons/ha/year.

Keywords: Erosion, Keduang sub-watershed, sedimentation, SWAT.

Presenting Author's biography



Prima Nugroho. Student Faculty of Geography interested in hydrology and watershed modeling. Family factors that make the writer wants to continue to develop knowledge that can be useful in the future. Started liking when joining the collaboration research study with students from the University of Twente. "Education is the most powerful weapon the which you can use to change the world" (Nelson Mandela) is one of the authors motto in order to continue to remain active in education.

1. Introduction

Erosion is evidenced to affect the environment, not only limited on the offsite area, but it also potential to extend to the onsite area. In addition, the impact of erosion is higher in the watershed areas. Its direct impact ranged from decreasing levels of soil fertility, narrowing of productive agricultural land and forestry, and expanding the number of critical land. Meanwhile, its indirect impacts comprise the chemical pollution from fertilizers and pesticides as well the degradation of water quality as surface water due to the process of sedimentation (Nugroho,S.P et.al., 2002). In this context, watershed management activities which carried out generally to control or reduce the rate of sediment due to losses of the sedimentation process was much greater than benefits (Asdak, 2001).

Keduang river is the largest contributor of sediment erosion as 33% from the total sedimentation in Gajah Mungkur (Rahman, 2012) comes from this river. According to (Maridi, 2012), ecosystem condition of the catchment area of Bengawan Solo watershed, particularly the upstream of Keduang sub-watershed endures severe degradation. The amount of sediment from Keduang sub-watershed is 1,218,580 m³/year in which total sediment in Wonogiri reservoir is 3,178,510 m³/year. Keduang sub-watershed is dominated by the hills with the slope of > 30%, located in high rainfall areas with the domination of latosol soil types which prone to erosion, and poor conservation facilities. This condition, however, worsens the level of land average loss which reaches 5,112 tons/year (Maridi, 2012).

SWAT is developed to predict the impact of land management practices on water, sediment and agricultural chemical yields in large complex watershed with varying soils, land-use and management conditions over long periods of time (Neitsch, S.L et.al, 2005). Based on the background, this study aimed to conduct further assessment on the rate of erosion and sedimentation and to determine the ability of SWAT model to predict the rate of erosion and sedimentation Keduang sub-Watershed.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Case Study – Keduang Sub-Watershed

Keduang sub-watershed is one of watersheds that flow into Upstream Bengawan Solo watershed. Administratively, Keduang subwatershed is part of Subdistrict of Sidoharjo, Jatisrono, Slogohimo, Girimarto, and Jatiroto, Wonogiri Regency, Central Java Province. The area of Keduang sub watershed is $\pm 39,044.16$ ha, located in 7°42'29''S – 7°55'39''S and 4°11'01''E – 4°24'54''E.



Fig. 1. Map of the study site

2.2. Data Collection

Data collection included the secondary data, such as land-use map, soil type maps, contour data, and climate data from related institutions.



Fig.2 Spatial distribution of Landuse, Soil types, Topographic and Rainfall Stations

2.3. Observation

Observation stage examined the result of Hidrologic Response Unit process using Arcswat program to perform sampling on the soil to figure out its characteristics result. The characteristics comprise bulk density, texture, c-organic, water content, and permeability. Purposive sampling was used to determine the amount and site of soil samples. The methods of soil sampling were undisturbed and disturbed method.

2.4. Processing

SWAT models predicted erosion by rain and surface flow using the Modified Universal Soil Loss Equation (MUSLE), which was a further development of the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) developed by Wischmeier and Smith (1978). USLE predicts average annual gross erosion as a function of rainfall energy. In contrast to USLE, MUSLE use factor flows to predicted results of sediment, so Sediment Delivery Ratio (SDR) are not needed because the runoff factor represents energy used in detecting and transporting sediment (Neitsch, S.L et.al, 2005).

Results of sediment on the model SWAT were calculated using the equation below:

$$Sed = 11.8 \left(Q_{surf} \cdot Q_{peak} \cdot Area_{hru} \right)^{0.56} \cdot K_{usle} \cdot C_{usle} \cdot P_{usle} \cdot LS_{usle} \cdot CFRG$$
(1)

Where *sed* is the sediment yield on a given day (metric tons); Q_{surf} is the surface runoff volume (mm H₂O/ha); q_{peak} is the peak runoff rate (m³/s); $Area_{hru}$ is the area of the HRU (ha); K_{usle} is the USLE soil erodibility factor, C_{usle} is the USLE cover and management factor; P_{usle} is the USLE support practice factor; LS_{usle} is the USLE topographic factor and CFRG is the coarse fragment factor.

2.5. Analysis

Results of the simulation are generated using quantitative analysis of SWAT model by comparing the results of model simulations with actual data. SWAT CUP using Sufi-2 (Sequential Uncertainty Fitting ver.2) was used to analyze calibration and validation. Statistical models were testing the model used the equation of Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency (NS) and the coefficient of determination in (Putra, 2015).

$$NS = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - Q_{cal})^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - \overline{Q}_{obs})^2}$$
(2)

$$R^{2} = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - \overline{Q}_{obs})(Q_{cal} - \overline{Q}_{cal})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{obs} - \overline{Q}_{obs})^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_{cal} - \overline{Q}_{cal})^{2}}}\right]^{2}$$
(3)

Where, Q_{obs} is a variable of an actual data, \overline{Q}_{obs} is a variable of an average actual data, Q_{cal} is a variable of simulation result and, \overline{Q}_{cal} is a variable of average simulation result.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1. Model Result

This stage is the most important process, because all the results of modeling should be accompanied by a calibration and validation of the data by comparing the simulation results and the actual data in the field to determine whether the model used to present the existing conditions in the field. The model was calibrated and validated only for flow, due to lack of annual sediment load data in outlet station.

The parameters sensitive to the flow rates that used for calibration derived in previous studies by Gunadi (2014). From the results of calibration can know the result of accuracy of the models and parameters sensitive that resulted from the calibration process. The calibration period is from 2008-2011 and for the validation period is from 2012-2014. This study uses 1 iteration with 100 simulations for each simulation period.

Sensitive parameter known by looking at the value of the t-stat is greater than the P-value. From 28 parameters used in the calibration process, there are 14 parameters that are sensitive to flow in Keduang catchment including OV_N, CH_N1, GW_DELAY, EPCO, SOL_AWC, ALPHA_BF, CH_K2, ESCO, SHALLST, RCHRG_DP, CH_K1, GWHT, SURLAG and GW_REVAP. These parameters are used as a basis for improving the accuracy of the model. The user determines which variables to adjust based on

expert judgment or on sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis is the process of determining the rate of change in model output with respect to changes in model inputs (parameters) (Arnold.J.G et.al, 2012)

No	Parameter Name	Description	t-Stat	Р-	Sensiti	Fitted
				Value	vity	Value
1	OV_N.hru	Manning's "n" value for overland flow (-)	31.89	0.00	\checkmark	25.65
2	CH_N1.sub	Manning's "n" value for tributary channels (-)	19.66	0.00	\checkmark	22.65
3	GW_DELAY.gw	Groundwater delay time (days)	2.41	0.02	\checkmark	57.50
4	EPCO.hru	Plant uptake compensation factor (-)	2.20	0.03	\checkmark	0.47
5	SOL_AWC	Available water capacity of the soil layer (mm H ₂ O/mm soil)	2.14	0.04	\checkmark	0.41
6	ALPHA_BF.gw	Baseflow alpha factor (1/days)	1.67	0.10	\checkmark	0.76
7	CH_K2.rte	Effective hydraulic conductivity in main channel alluvium (mm/hr)	1.56	0.12	\checkmark	237.49
8	ESCO.hru	Soil evaporation compensation factor (-)	1.54	0.13	\checkmark	1.00
9	SHALLST.gw	Initial depth of water in the deep aquifer (mm H_2O)	1.02	0.31	\checkmark	23750.00
10	RCHRG_DP.gw	Deep aquifer percolation fraction (-)	0.89	0.38		0.48
11	CH_K1.sub	Effective hydraulic conductivity in tributary channel alluvium(mm/hr)	0.74	0.46	\checkmark	169.50
12	GWHT.gw Initial groundwater height (m)		0.72	0.48	\checkmark	15.88
13	SURLAG.bsn	Surface runoff lag coefficient (days)	0.58	0.57	\checkmark	21.25
14	GW_REVAP.gw	Groundwater "revap" coefficient	0.58	0.57	\checkmark	0.13

Tab	1	Results of Sensitive Analysis of monthly Calibration	ı
1 a.o.	1.	Results of Sensitive Analysis of monting Canoration	1

The result of monthly calibration obtained by comparing data flow simulation results and the actual value of R2 = 0.86 and NS = 0.77. When the value closer of 1, then the model will be more accurate in predicting the actual conditions in the field.



Fig. 3 Monthly Calibration Graph in 2008-2010

Figure 3 shows a graph comparing the monthly results with simulated data and actual data from 2008 to 2011. The results of simulation looks overestimated, but the trend graph illustrated flow simulation results almost describe the actual conditions in the field



Fig. 4 Plot of Observation and Simulation Values

Result of model validation obtained value of $R^2 = 0.79$ and NS = 0.41. According (Moriasi et.al, 2007) models can be accepted if the value of R2> 0.5, so the SWAT models used in the Keduang subwatershed acceptable. Results of the validation model can be seen in the image below. Figure 5 shows monthly and Simulation Validation Graph and Figure 6 shows the Plot of Observation and Simulation Values.



Fig. 6. Plot of Observation and Simulation Values

3.2. Erosion Rate and Sedimentation

Result of SWAT simulation to predict erosion rate in 2011-2014 is shown in Figure 7. The graph shows the increase in erosion following the higher average monthly rainfall in Keduang sub-watershed, this means that rainfall greatly affect the amount of erosion. Rain factor is not only affecting the high of erosion, soil infiltration capability and slope factors will also affect the amount of runoff. So that the resulting of erosion will be greater



Fig. 7. The average of erosion rate in 2011-2014

From Figure 8 below shows the graph the rate of erosion of 2011-2014 increased in 2013, this is caused by the high rainfall in 2013. The higher the rainfall, the higher the resulting erosion, as well as the resulting sedimentation also will be even greater. This phenomenon will also be very detrimental, in addition to the level of agricultural productivity declining and the encouragement to open new lands and also sedimentation in Gajah Mungkur Reservoir will be higher which would need huge costs for the normalization.



Fig. 8 The average of monthly erosion in 2011-2014

High erosion rate in sub-watersheds 1, 2, 7, 9, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 24, 25, 27, 28, 29, and a very high erosion rate is in 3, 4, 5, 6, 8. Fig. 8 is a map of erosion rate of Keduang sub-watershed. The map shows mostly a high erosion rate and very high erosion rate in the area of the upstream watershed. According (Asdak, 2001), upstream ecosystems is an important part because it has the function of protection against all parts of the watershed, among others, in terms of water function. Sub-watersheds below is a priority area that needs to be done by the conservation measures related institutions to improve the performance of Keduang sub-watershed.



Fig. 8. Erosion Rate of Keduang Sub-watershed

The Classification erosion rate in Keduang sub-watershed, researcher used classification based on (Ministry of forestry, 2013) according to the Regulation of the Minister of Forestry Number. P.3 / V-SET / 2013 on Guidelines for Identification of Characteristics Watershed. In the table below shows the rate of erosion in Keduang sub-watershed with 51.22% erosion rate is high and 22.17% erosion rate is very high. It has explained the conditions of land degradation are quite severe.

Erosion rate	Description	Area (Ha)	%
≤ 15	Very low	21.50	0.06
> 60 - 180	Moderate	9,305.00	26.55
> 180 - 460	High	17,950.75	51.22
> 460	Very high	7,771.25	22.17

Tab. 2. Area of Erosion Rate in Keduang Sub-Watershed

The amount of sedimentation contained in the outlet of Keduang sub-waterhed as shown in Figure 9. From the graph shows an increase in the monthly discharge followed by sedimentation in 2013. This means, the greater the flow, the result of sediment at the outlets will also be greater. The amount of flow is also affected by the amount of runoff, which also affected by rainfall and types of land use, slope and soil characteristics. The average of sedimentation rate in outlet of Keduang sub-watershed from 2011-2014 is 408.19 tons/ha/year.



Fig. 9. Monthly sedimentation in 2011-2014

4. Conclusion

Result of model validation obtained the value of $R^2 = 0.79$ and NS = 0.41, according to (Moriasi et.al, 2007) models is assumed to be valid if the value of $R^2 > 0.5$, thus, SWAT model used to analyze Keduang sub-watershed is accurate and acceptable. As much as total area of 17,950.75 ha (51.22%) was classified as high attrition rate, while as much as 7,771.25 ha (22.17%) was very-high attrition rate. In addition the average sedimentation in Keduang sub-watershed derived from the simulation in 2011-2014 was 408.19 tons/ha/year.

Despite the expectation of result of this study, it only used monthly simulation and involved 8-years rainfall data. It is suggested to conduct further research which includes longer period of data input as well as daily simulation to obtain more accurate result and better model.

References

[1] Nugroho, S.P et.al. (2002). The Impact of Landuse Change on Surface Runoff, Sediment and Nutrient. *Journal of Sains and Technology*, BPPT Vol.4 No.5.

[2] Asdak, C. (2001). *Hydrology and Watershed Management*. Yogyakarta: University of Gadjah Mada Press.

[3] Rahman, M. (2012). Study of Conservation Management in Keduang Sub Watershed, Bengawan Solo Watershed. *Journal of irrigation techniques*.

[4] Maridi. (2012). Vegetative approach in Bengawan Solo Watershed Conservation Efforts, A Case Study in Keduang Sub Watershed. *Journal Environment and Soil Science*, Vol.2, No.7.

[5] Neitsch, S.L et.al. (2005). *Soil and Water Assessment Tool Theoretical Documentation*. Texas: Agriculture Research Servise and Texas Agriculture Experiment Station.

[6] Putra, A. (2015). *The Analysis of Ciawi Reservoir Effectiveness Using SWAT Model As Ciliwung Watershed Flood Control Effort*. Bogor: University of Agricultural Bogor.

[7] Arnold.J.G et.al. (2012). SWAT: Model Use, Calibration and Validation. *Journal ASABE*, Vol.55(4): 1491-1508.

[8] Moriasi et.al. (2007). Model Evaluation Guidelines for Systematic Quantification of Accruracy in Watershed Simulations. *Journal ASABE*, Vol.50(3):885-900.

[9] Ministry of forestry. (2013). *Guidelines on the Indentification Characteristics Watershed*. Jakarta: Ministry of forestry.

[10] Firdaus, G. (2014). *Hydrological Respons Analysis towards Soil Conservation Practices Application in Lengkong Watershed using SWAT model.* Bogor: University of Agricultural Bogor.