

constitutional system. On the other hand, the constitutional amendment recognizes and preserves customary or *adat* law at the local or regional structure. However, the recognition of adat law at the local structure demonstrates more on preservation than a reconstruction of tradition. Preservation has purpose mainly to maintain the existence of tradition, while the reconstruction has purpose to apply tradition in a modern state structure.

Generally, it can be concluded that the amendment of the 1945 Constitution does not have an obvious pattern of reconstruction of tradition. It contradicts with the original meaning of the founders that obviously believed tradition as a basic to create a national constitutional system.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anderson, Benedict R. 1990. O'G, *Language and Power Exploring Political Cultures in Indonesia*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press.
- Austin, J. 1954. *The Province of Jurisprudence*. (ed. H.L.A. Hart). London: George Weidenfeld & Nicholson.
- Azhari, Aidul Fitriadi. 2010. *Tafsir Konstitusi Pergulatan Mewujudkan Demokrasi di Indonesia*. Solo: Jagad Abjad.
- Azhari, Aidul Fitriadi. 2011. *UUD 1945 sebagai Revolutiegrondwet Tafsir Poskolonial atas Gagasan-gagasan Revolusioner dalam Wacana Konstitusi Indonesia*. Yogyakarta: Jalasutra.
- Budiardjo, Miriam. 1977. *Masalah Kenegaraan*. Jakarta: Gramedia.
- Glenn, H. Patrick. 2000. *Legal Tradition of the World*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Habermas, Jurgen. 1999. *The Inclusion of the Other: Studies in Political Theory*. Cambridge, Mass. : The MIT Press.
- Hatta, Mohammad. “Ke Arah Indonesia Merdeka” at Miriam Budiardjo. 1977. *Masalah Kenegaraan*. Jakarta: Gramedia.

Huda, Miftakhul Huda et al. 2010. *Naskah Komprehensif Perubahan Undang-Undang Dasar Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 1945*. Jakarta: Sekretariat MKRI.

Huntington, Samuel P., 1995. *Gelombang Demokrasi Ketiga*, Jakarta: Pustaka Utama Grafiti.

Kartodirdjo, Sartono. “From Ethno-Nationalism to the “Indonesia Merdeka” Movement 1908-1925”. Sri Kuhnt-Saptodewo, Volker Grabowsky, dan Martin Grosheim (eds.). 1997. *Nationalism and Cultural Revival in Southeast Asia: Perspectives from the Centre and the Region*, Wiesbaden : Harrasowitz.

Kelsen, Hans, 1973. *General Theory of Law and State*. New York: Russel & Russel.

Kusuma, RM. A.B., 2004. *Lahirnya Undang-Undang Dasat 1945*. Jakarta: BP Fakultas Hukum Universitas Indonesia.

Lev, Daniel S., “Colonial Law and the Genesis of the Indonesian State.” *Indonesia* 40 (October 1985): 57-74.

Lukito, Ratno. 1998. *Pergumulan Antara Hukum Islam dan Adapt di Indonesia*. Jakarta: INIS.

Popper, Karl. 2002. *Conjectures and Refutations*. London/New York: Routledge.

Rambe, Safrizal. 2008. *Sarekat Islam Pelopor Bangkitnya Nasionalisme Indonesia 1905-1942*. Jakarta: Yayasan Kebangkitan Insan Cendekia.

Rothermund, Dietmar, “Nationalism and the Reconstruction of Traditions in Asia”. Sri Kuhnt-Saptodewo, Volker Grabowsky, dan Martin Grosheim (eds.). 1997. *Nationalism and Cultural Revival in Southeast Asia: Perspectives from the Centre and the Region*, Wiesbaden : Harrasowitz.

Saptodewo, Sri Kuhnt-, Volker Grabowsky, dan Martin Grosheim (eds.). 1997. *Nationalism and Cultural Revival in Southeast Asia: Perspectives from the Centre and the Region*, Wiesbaden : Harrasowitz.

“SBY: Tak Mungkin Ada Monarki di Indonesia,” *Harian Yogyakarta*, 26 November 2010.

Soekarno. 1959. *Res Publica Sekali Lagi Res Publica*. Jakarta: Kementerian Penerangan RI.